Case 1:07-cv-05216-AKH	Document 1	Filed 05/15/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
EMANUEL GAROFALO AND BARBARA GA	AROFALO	DOCKET NO.	
Plai	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	,
- against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		MASTER COMPLA PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alva 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Co			•
	NOTICE OF A	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in a instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth h Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. Thes and specific case information is set forth,	nerein in addition se are marked wi	n to those paragraphs th an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual

Plaintiffs, EMANUEL GAROFALO AND BARBARA GAROFALO, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. individual a	,	`	er the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an venue, Brooklyn, NY 11224
		(OR)	•
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
	Please	read this document carefu	ılly.
	It is very important that you	fill out each and every sec	ction of this document.

Cas	se 1:07-cv-05216-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Injured Plainti	at 4011 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn, NY iff: ✓ SPOUSE at all relevant times h	nafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New Y 11224-, and has the following relationship to the nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff
	to the injuries sustained by her GAROFALO.	d brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due husband (his wife), Plaintiff EMANUEL Other:
4. Industries as a	-	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Grace & Son
F	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (i.	Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) out _9/12/2001_ until _3/5/2002_;	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for
Approximatel	y <u>12</u> hours per day; for y <u>144</u> days total.	Approximately days total. ====================================
From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, y hours per day; for y days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh From on or ab Approximatel	Kills Landfill out; y hours per day; for y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
*Continue th	nis information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	Was exposed to and breathed nabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: <u>Not yet determined.</u>	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☑ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 3/14/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
•	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☑ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
\square An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 3/14/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	\square EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	\square EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
•	☑ EN-TECH CORP
5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	DEVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	Ç
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-05216-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specization Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers J.; Contested, by val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial	, ,		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

Page 8 of 11 Case 1:07-cv-05216-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 9/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
\	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Shortness of Breath; Sinus and/or Nasal Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: 9/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 9/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Sleep Problems Date of onset: 9/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:		
<u>√</u>	Pain and suffering	
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits	
$ \mathbf{V} $	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
✓	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring ☑ Other: Not yet determined	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of \ fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \\ jury.$

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Emanuel Garofalo and Barbara

Garofalo

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

April 25, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	t No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
===	
	EMANUEL GAROFALO (AND WIFE, BARBARA GAROFALO),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	☐ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated,
	Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP